**List Article Rubric (Production)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Criteria** | 5(90 – 100) | 4(74 – 89%) | 3(60 – 73%) | 2(41 – 59%) | 1(0 – 40%) |
| **Context (40%)*** Your writing is based on a list of 5, created by you.
* Your list items have been explained and supported.
 | Writing is commanding and insightful. | Writing is compelling and well-conceived. | Writing is credible and ordinary. | Writing is unconvincing and limited. | Writing is inadequate and underdeveloped. |
| **Style (40%)*** Your writing is easily understood.
* You have created a “catchy” title.
* You have used **an effective hook/lead**
* You have included **a range statement.**
* You have **identified with the reader.**
* Your writing is **clearly organized**
* Your paragraphs are at least 6-8 sentences in length
* Your writing is free from spelling and grammar mistakes.

**At LEAST once throughout the article:*** You have included one example of figurative language.
* You have included one example of rule of three.
* You have used questions.
* You have used italics to emphasize important elements.
* You have used humour/sarcasm.
 | Style is distinctive and unique. Organization is crafted and distinctive.Language is artistic and polished. | Style is creative and effective.Organization is purposeful and controlled.Language is well crafted and proficient. | Style is effective. Attempts to use techniques are evident.Organization is evident and basic.Language is competent and ordinary. | Style is simplistic and unoriginal.Organization is sketchy or awkward.Language is of limited choice, simplistic. | Style is non-existent, no attempt to use techniques. Organization is disjointed. Technical and usage errors impede communication. |
| **Process (20%)*** You have **met ALL deadlines**
* You have included **your rough draft showing revision and editing.**
* You have used **class time wisely.**
* You have had **your work previewed or asked for help/clarification.**
 | Process is extensively used to improve upon the original quality of the piece. | Process is evident in shaping the final product.  | Process is complete, but may not have re-shaped the final product. | Process is incomplete. | Process is not evident or incomplete. |